

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/719,978	BALL, STEVEN
	Examiner Russell Kallis	Art Unit 1638

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Russell Kallis. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Philip DuBois. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An examiner's amendment was proposed to bring the claims into condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Russell Kallis

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)